

# Public Document Pack

## Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

Department for Corporate Services

John Williams - Head of Legal & Democratic Services

Our ref:

Telephone: **01702 215000**

Your ref:


Fax: **01702 215994**

Date: 31 October 2016

E-mail: **committeesection@southend.gov.uk**

Contact Name: Tim Row

DX 2812 Southend



Dear Councillor

### **CABINET COMMITTEE - THURSDAY, 3RD NOVEMBER, 2016**

Please find enclosed, for consideration at the next meeting of the Cabinet Committee taking place on Thursday, 3rd November, 2016, the following report(s) that were unavailable when the agenda was printed.

#### **Agenda No    Item**

#### **7.        Requests for New or Amended Traffic Regulation Orders (Pages 1 - 6)**

Report of Corporate Director for Place

Yours faithfully

Tim

This page is intentionally left blank

# Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

Report of Corporate Director for Place  
to  
**Traffic & Parking Working Party and  
Cabinet Committee**  
on  
**3<sup>rd</sup> November 2016**

Agenda  
Item No.

**7**

Report prepared by: Cheryl Hindle-Terry  
Team Leader, Parking, Traffic Management and Road Safety

---

**Requests for New or Amended Traffic Regulation Orders**  
**Portfolio Holder – Councillor Tony Cox**  
***A Part 1 Public Agenda Item***

---

**1. Purpose of Report**

- 1.1 For the Traffic and Parking Working Party and the Cabinet Committee to authorise the advertisement of the amendments and/or new restrictions/traffic Regulation Orders in accordance with the statutory processes.

**2. Recommendation**

**2.1. That the Traffic and Parking Working Party and the Cabinet Committee:-**

- a) Consider the requests to advertise the requisite Traffic Regulation Orders as shown in Appendix 1;
- b) If approved, further agree that in the event of there being no objections to the proposals, the proposal will be added to the existing work programme and the Traffic Regulation Order be confirmed;
- c) Note that all unresolved objections will be referred to the Traffic and Parking Working Party for consideration.

**3. Background**

- 3.1 Requests for new or amendments to existing waiting restrictions are regularly received from residents and the businesses.
- 3.2 All requests are assessed and investigated against the policy criterion agreed criteria by the Cabinet Committee in January 2016.

**4. Other Options**

- 4.1 Each request needs to be considered on its individual merits and their impact on public safety, traffic flows or parking and wider impact on the surrounding network. Members may consider taking no further action if they feel it is appropriate.

## **5. Reasons for Recommendations**

- 5.1 Where recommended the objective is to mitigate for likelihood of traffic flows being impeded, to improve safety or increase parking availability.

## **6. Corporate Implications**

### *6.1 Contribution to Council's Vision & Corporate Priorities*

- 6.1.1 Ensure the highway network is effectively managed contributing to a Safe and Prosperous Southend.

### *6.2 Financial Implications*

- 6.2.1 Where recommended, the source of funding will be from allocated budgets, where funding is provided from alternative budgets, this is highlighted as appropriate.

### *6.3 Legal Implications*

- 6.3.1 The formal statutory consultative process will be completed in accordance with the requirements of the legislation where applicable.

### *6.4 People Implications*

- 6.4.1 Staff time will be prioritised as needed to investigate, organise the advertisement procedures and monitor the progress of the proposals based on the committee priorities.

### *6.5 Property Implications*

- 6.5.1 None

### *6.6 Consultation*

- 6.6.1 Formal consultation will be undertaken including advertisement of the proposal in the local press and on the street as appropriate.

### *6.7 Equalities and Diversity Implications*

- 6.7.1 The objectives of improving safety takes account of all users of the public highway including those with disabilities.

### *6.8 Risk Assessment*

- 6.8.1 Neutral

### *6.9 Value for Money*

- 6.9.1 All works resulting from the scheme design are to be undertaken by term contractors appointed through a competitive tendering process.

## 6.10 *Community Safety Implications*

6.10.1 All proposals are designed to maximise community safety through design, implementation and monitoring.

## 6.11 *Environmental Impact*

6.11.1 All proposals are designed and implemented to ensure relevant environmental benefits are attained through the use of appropriate materials and electrical equipment to save energy and contribute towards the Carbon Reduction targets where appropriate.

## 7. **Background papers**

None

## 8. **Appendices**

**Appendix 1** – List of requests and comments

## APPENDIX 1 – TRO CHANGES/WAITING RESTRICTIONS REQUESTS

Location	Request Details	Requested By	Relevant Criteria Points	Officer comments
Lynton Road and Walton Road	Reduce existing waiting restrictions to provide parking.	Roslin Hotel	NA	<p>The two streets are situated either side of the hotel and are subject to a seasonal waiting restriction operational from 9am to 6pm From March to October.</p> <p>As the request is for the removal of restrictions, the criteria is not applicable.</p> <p>There are no safety or traffic flow concerns resulting from the suggestion.</p> <p>Two previous Members requests via the local ward Members have been progressed in this area and these are set out below;</p> <p>An Experimental Traffic Regulation Order in May 2015 designed to amend the operational times of the seasonal waiting restriction to 10am to 3pm during June to August in Walton Road, Lynton Road and Clieveden Road.</p> <p>The Order was progressed however due to a very high volume of objections by residents, the amendment was withdrawn at the request of the ward Members.</p> <p>A request by the local ward Members to reduce the existing length of the seasonal restriction in Lynton Road, Walton Road and Clieveden Road from the existing double yellow lines northwards was advertised in February 2016 and following a large number of objections, the proposal was withdrawn.</p> <p><b>Recommend that Members consider the request</b></p>
Cliffsea Grove	Amend traffic flow to one-way southbound	Ward Members	NA	<p>Ward Members have undertaken the required consultation with residents resulting in;</p> <p>100 questionnaires delivered 70 returned</p> <p>53 responses in support of the proposal 17 responses against the proposal</p>

Location	Request Details	Requested By	Relevant Criteria Points	Officer comments
				<p>In accordance with the revised process for assessment and progression of Member Requests, the appropriate consultation has been completed with the level of responses meeting the required level.</p> <p><b>Recommend Members agree to advertise the proposal.</b></p>

This page is intentionally left blank